Document 1576

Filed 01/18/11

Page 1 of 3

Case 2:03-cr-00350-RFB-PAL

the aid of racketeering and was sentenced on August 28, 2006, to one hundred sixty-eight (168) months incarceration. Doc. #1195. Fleming did not appeal his sentence.

Subsequently, in July and September 2010, Fleming filed the present motions to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2255. Doc. ##1537, 1546. Thereafter, the United States filed the present motion to dismiss the motions as untimely. Doc. #1563.

II. Discussion

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255, a prisoner may move the court to vacate, set aside, or correct a sentence if "the sentence was imposed in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States, or that the court was without jurisdiction to impose such sentence, or that the sentence was in excess of the maximum authorized by law, or is otherwise subject to collateral attack."

28 U.S.C. § 2255; 2 Randy Hertz & James S. Liebman, Federal Habeas Corpus Practice and Procedure § 41.3b (5th ed. 2005). However, a petitioner must file his §2255 motion within one year from the date the judgment of conviction becomes final. 28 U.S.C. §2255(f)(1).

A judgment becomes final "upon the expiration of the time during which [petitioner] could have sought review by direct appeal." *United States v. Schartz*, 274 F.3d 1120, 1123 (9th Cir. 2001). If a defendant does not file a direct appeal, the judgment becomes final ten days after entry of judgment. *Id.*; FED. R. APP. P. 4(b)(1).

Here, Fleming was sentenced on August 28, 2006. Doc. #1195. Fleming did not appeal his sentence. Therefore, his sentence and judgment became final ten days later, on September 7, 2006. Fleming did not file his present §2255 motions until July and September 2010, well after the statute of limitations period ended on September 7, 2007. Therefore, the court finds that Flemings' motions are untimely.

Additionally, the court finds that Flemings' §2255 motions are without merit. In his motions for relief, Fleming present a single ground for relief: the United States did not have territorial jurisdiction over the action and underlying crime because it was committed in the State

of Nevada. See Doc. ##1537, 1546. There is no legal or factual support for this argument. United States has jurisdiction over federal crimes that take place within the territorial juris of the United States including the jurisdiction of a specific state. Accordingly, the court she Flemings' motions to vacate, set aside, or correct sentence. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant's motions to vacate or correct sent pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Doc. ##1537, 1546) are DENIED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States' motion to dismiss (Doc. #1569) GRANTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 17th day of January, 2011. LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	diction
United States has jurisdiction over federal crimes that take place within the territorial juris of the United States including the jurisdiction of a specific state. Accordingly, the court she flemings' motions to vacate, set aside, or correct sentence. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant's motions to vacate or correct sent pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Doc. ##1537, 1546) are DENIED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States' motion to dismiss (Doc. #156 GRANTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 17th day of January, 2011. LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	diction
United States has jurisdiction over federal crimes that take place within the territorial juris of the United States including the jurisdiction of a specific state. Accordingly, the court she flemings' motions to vacate, set aside, or correct sentence. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant's motions to vacate or correct sent pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Doc. ##1537, 1546) are DENIED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States' motion to dismiss (Doc. #156 GRANTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 17th day of January, 2011. LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	diction
of the United States including the jurisdiction of a specific state. Accordingly, the court she Flemings' motions to vacate, set aside, or correct sentence. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant's motions to vacate or correct sent pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Doc. ##1537, 1546) are DENIED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States' motion to dismiss (Doc. #156 GRANTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 17th day of January, 2011. LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
Flemings' motions to vacate, set aside, or correct sentence. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant's motions to vacate or correct sent pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Doc. ##1537, 1546) are DENIED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States' motion to dismiss (Doc. #156 GRANTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 17th day of January, 2011. LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	all deny
IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant's motions to vacate or correct sent pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Doc. ##1537, 1546) are DENIED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States' motion to dismiss (Doc. #156 GRANTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 17th day of January, 2011. LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant's motions to vacate or correct sent pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Doc. ##1537, 1546) are DENIED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States' motion to dismiss (Doc. #156 GRANTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 17th day of January, 2011. LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Doc. ##1537, 1546) are DENIED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States' motion to dismiss (Doc. #1569 GRANTED. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 17th day of January, 2011. LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	
8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States' motion to dismiss (Doc. #156 GRANTED. 10 IT IS SO ORDERED. 11 DATED this 17th day of January, 2011. 12 LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 15 16 17 18	ence
9 GRANTED. 11 IT IS SO ORDERED. 12 DATED this 17th day of January, 2011. 13 LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 15 16 17 18	
10 IT IS SO ORDERED. 11 DATED this 17th day of January, 2011. 12 LARRY R. HICKS 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 15 16 17 18	3) is
DATED this 17th day of January, 2011. Substitute LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 15 16 17 18	
LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 15 16 17 18	
LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 15 16 17 18	
LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 15 16 17 18	
15 16 17 18	_
16 17 18	
17 18	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26 Z	